Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/733,523	BEAMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Turocy	1762
All Participants:	Status of Applic	ation:
(1) <u>David Turocy</u> .	(3)	·
(2) <u>Chen Liang</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview:	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative) o	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 112 1st		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE The examiner and the applicants representative discusse that the claim amendments be done by examiners amendments.	ed claim amendments to more	distinctly claim the invention. It was agreed
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequirectly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sequirement 	ion. The examiner will provocate record of the substa	ide a written summary of the substance nce of the interview, since the interview
	•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant's Repres	entative Signature – if appropriate)